

No. A/11/204/CS/2014
O/o the PCDA(BR)
Seema Sadak Bhawan
Ring Road Nariana , Delhi Cantt-10
Dated : 22.08.2014

To

1. The HQ DGBR
2. The CE (P)
3. The Commandent (BRTF)
4. The CDA (BR) Guhawati
5. The JCDA (BR) Chandigarh
6. All AO (P)/ AO (BRTF)
7. On the WEB SITE PCDA (BR)

Sub: Prefix category code in respect of Risk & Cost Contract by BRO.

In this context, please find enclosed a copy of CGDA office letter No. A/1/9084/XXXII dated 12.08.2014 on Risk & Cost Contract management . The contents of the ibid communication may be careful gone through and appropriate action may be taken to operate prefix code head "45" while booking expenditure on account of Risk & Cost Contract.

Please Acknowledgement receipt.

संदर्भ CDA को देखा है

Sandeep
22/8
(Sandeep Kumar Yadav)
ACDA



OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER GENERAL OF DEFENCE ACCOUNTS
ULAN BATAR ROAD, PALAM, DELHI CANTT -110 010

Tel: 011-2566548, 25665584, 2566583, 25665736/ 37, Jt.CGDA (A&B) Tel.-25674817,
Fax: 011-25674786

No. A/I/9084/XXXII

dated: 12/08/2014.

To

The PCDA (BR)
Delhi Cantt-10.

Sub: Prefix Category Code in respect of Risk and Cost Contract executed by BRO.

Ref: PCDA (BR) letter No. A/II/204/CS/2014 dated 08.07.2014.

This office has not clarified that a prefix code head can be allotted to identify demands from the defaulting contractor arising out due to "Risk and Cost Contract". It was clearly mentioned in Para 3 of this Office letter No. A/II/107/BRO/MON/2014-15 dated 20.06.2014 that prefix code can be allotted to identify the expenditure incurred on account of "Risk and Cost". The demands and expenditure are two different issues, because the demands are not compiled, but expenditures are compiled through Punching Medium.

2. Taking into account the problem being faced by BRO, it has been decided by the competent authority to allot a category prefix "45" under Pamphlet of RDR to compile BRO expenditure on account of "Risk and Cost" against defaulting contractors arising out due to "Risk and Cost Contract". This category code will be operated only to identify the bookings on account of "Risk and Cost" under the relevant code heads and will have no relevance to the Sub Head 'G' MES advance applicable on MES side or the demands from the defaulting contractors arising due to "Risk & Cost Contract".

Addl. CGDA has seen.

Go/SAO (K/CS) n.a.f.
2/8

SAO (A/CS)

A/C
826
21/8/14